

**Title of Paper:**

A Complementary (and Challenging) Implementation of Pre-Emption  
For Inter- and National Security Outcomes

**Topic:**

C2 Concepts, Theory, and Policy

-or -

Organizational Issues

-or-

Cognitive and Social Issues

**Name of Author:** Russell E. Bryant – Leader for Future Decoy Development

**Point of Contact:** Russell E. Bryant

**Name of Organization:** Program Executive Office (Integrated Warfare Systems)

**Complete Address (Home):** 16107 Benedict Court, Woodbridge VA 22191-4302

**Telephone / Fax: Work:** (202) 781-1973 / 4589

**Home:** (703) 670-7521

**E-mail Address:** russell.bryant@navy.mil

## A Complementary (and Challenging) Implementation of Pre-Emption For Inter- and National Security Outcomes

### **ABSTRACT/OVERVIEW**

Much of the public commentary and policy discussion following the 2002 National Security Strategy release focused on the concept of National pre-emption response in the International arena.

Yet that discussion was bounded by the traditional National tools of diplomacy, commerce, and military responses, with limited mention of “all the tools of national power.” The author will attempt to expand that discussion by a stroll through the 2002 and 2006 National Security Strategies, along with the extension of the Joint Vision 2020 DOTMLP-F<sup>1</sup> to a possible National Joint Vision perspective beyond that of the military.

The intended outcome is a rounding out of those prior and continuing discussions of ‘what to do’ and ‘how implementing’ a complementary (and challenging) pre-emption concept for all can facilitate ‘adapting C2 (leadership and management) to the 21<sup>st</sup> century’ and beyond.

### **PROPOSED OUTLINE**

The author will frame the discussion of a complementary (and challenging) pre-emption concept through a summary of general points of action within the 2002 and 2006 National Security Strategies. This will be followed by a short summary of the Joint Vision 2020 DOTMLP-F framework points. This sets the general context for other areas of emphasis or fundamental underlying efforts which are background supporting points of ‘all the tools of national power.’

This developed framework opens the door to ‘what to do’ on the international basis which can be considered pre-emption (preventive) efforts and effects which can utilize those tools of national power within the international framework to help the overall greater common good.

Further, the preventive (pre-emption) employment of those tools (along with the other traditional tools) can assist, support, and facilitate the realization and results of truly agile organizations, innovative leadership and education, rounded out doctrine and cross training, as well as a high quality population, with sufficient quality materiel and facilities which can support implementation and adaptable leadership and management (C2) for the 21<sup>st</sup> century and beyond. The take away point is to prevent and remove the pre-conditions and problems which generate the pressures for conflict, and remove the conditions which are exploited for creating the perceptions that generate the conditions calling for conflict. This is a different aspect of much of the research and papers of the CCRP related to influence networks, organizational interactions, and planning for effects and outcomes.

This paper will touch on aspects of policy and C2 concepts, as well as parts of the international organizational issues (related to Huntington’s, Barnett’s, and Friedman’s writings on conflicts, challenges, opportunities, and globalization). Both these areas are also related to the overall understanding of inter-connected issues and some social aspects of organizations and issues.

---

<sup>1</sup> DOTMLP-F – Doctrine, Organization, Training, Materiel, Leadership, People, and Facilities; Joint Doctrine, Agile Organizations, Joint Training, Enhanced Materiel, Innovative Leadership and Education, High Quality People, and Requisite Facilities.