Representability of METT-TC Factors in JC3IEDM

Brian Ulicny[a], Christopher J. Matheus[a], Gerald Powell[b]

Robert Dionne[a] and Mieczyslaw M. Kokar[a,c]

[a]VIStology, Inc., 5 Mountainview Drive, Framingham, MA, U.S.A.

[b]U.S. Army RDECOM CERDEC I2WD , Fort Monmouth, NJ, U.S.A

[c]Northeastern University, Boston, MA, U.S.A.

VIStology, Inc.

Talk Outline

- What is METT-TC?

- What is meant by "representability"?

- What is JC3IEDM?

- Representation of METT-TC Factors in JC3IEDM

- JC3IEDM deficiencies for METT-TC representation

What is METT-TC?

- Information that is gathered as part of the Intelligence Preparation of the Battlefield (IPB) process

- Acronym for several factors:
    - *Mission*
    - *Enemy*
    - *Troops*
    - *Terrain and Weather*
    - *Time available*
    - *Civilian Considerations*

- Defines the "context" in which intelligence analysis is carried out during a military operation

Representability in a KR

- If a statement can be translated into an equivalent KR statement it is *representable.*
- If only into unstructured text, *weakly representable.*
  - *E.g. English -> French*
- If the KR has a formal semantics, it is *formally representable.*
  - *E.g. English -> FOL*
  - *Permits automated inferencing. Ax & Bx => Ax*

# What is JC3IEDM?

- Joint Consultation, Command & Control Information Exchange Data Model (JC3IEDM)
  - *Generic Hub -> Land C2IEDM -> C2IEDM -> JC3IEDM*
- Developed by the Multinational Interoperability Programme (MIP)
  - *NATO organization*
  - *Goal: international interoperability of C2IS to support multinational (NATO) combined and joint operations*
- Relational Data Model for Information Exchange
  - *289 entities*
  - *396 relationships between entities*
  - *1729 entity attributes*
  - *nearly 7000 value codes*

JC3IEDM High-level Entities

Objects in JC3IEDM

Figure 3. First Level Subtyping of OBJECT-TYPE and OBJECT-ITEM

Actions

Figure 20. Basic ACTION Structure

Representing Complex Actions

Figure 21. An Example of ACTION Hierarchy

Mission/Time Available

What	Ordered (Sequence of) ACTION-TASKs and subtasks
How	RULE-OF-ENGAGEMENT (Unstructured text)
Who	ACTION-RESOURCE UNIT
When (Time Available)	Absolute or Relative Expected Start/End Time
Where	LOCATION
Why	ACTION-RESOURCE intent-text (unstructured text)

Enemy/Troops

Organization, strength, location and tactical mobility	UNIT, LOCATION, MOBILITY-CAPABILITY
Equipment	EQUIPMENT
Capabilities	CAPABILITY
Doctrine	Assumed ACTION-TASK (citing REFERENCE)
Vulnerabilities	Possible X ACTION-TASK On/At Y
Probable COAs	Likely ACTION-TASK

Terrain & Weather

Observation	X observes Y
Fields of Fire	Zone-of-Fire
Cover	X is-protected-by Y
Concealment	
Obstacles	Type of CONTROL-FEATURE
Key/Decisive Terrain	FEATURES, FACILITIES
Avenues of Approach	ROUTE, with subtypes
Weather	METEOROLOGIC-FEATURE, Fog, Haze, etc.

Representability of METT-TC in JC3IEDM

Civil Considerations

Areas	Bounded LOCATIONS with AFFILIATIONs
Structures	FACILITIES, at building level. Little capacity for detail.
Organizations (non-military)	GROUP-ORGANISATION
People	PERSON (GROUP has-as-member, is-under-command-of)
Events	(Limited) ACTION-EVENT e.g. no religious holidays

Representability of METT-TC in JC3IEDM

JC3IEDM: METT-TC Deficiencies

- Mission Intent and Rules of Engagement can only be represented as unstructured text.

- Concealment relations are not expressible at all.

- Civil events can only be represented as national holidays.

- The relationship of various groups of the population to one another is not easily represented.

- The needs and intentions of groups (and, by inference, the persons that belong to them), can only be represented as unstructured text.

Acknowledgements

- **Work support in part by:**
  - *U.S. Army SBIR Contract Number W15P7T-05-C-T204*

Questions?