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Universally unique identifiers, even unintelligent ones, should be treated with the same classification level as the data they identify. This includes when they are a sole attribute.
Global Force Management Community of Interest (GFM COI) initiated the **GFM Data Initiative (GFM DI)** to unify force structure data and semantics across the DOD (Services, Joint, OSD, and Intel Communities).

Unified front: the seven data sources, or Organization (Org) Servers will appear as one – common semantics and interface.

All data is tagged with an enterprise-wide unique identifier.

Each Org Server will replicate its data to the next higher security domain.
**Data Propagation Up Security Echelons**

OS = Organization Server

- **Level 1**
  - Create Level 1 Data Using Level 1 Identifiers

- **Level 2**
  - Create Level 2 Data Using Level 2 Identifiers

- **Level 3**
  - Create Level 3 Data Using Level 3 Identifiers

- **Level 4**
  - Create Level 4 Data Using Level 4 Identifiers
• Common semantics via the GFM XSD.

• A data entity (object) is composed of attributes; or, attributes are clustered into entities.

• An attribute is composed of a name and a value.

• An entity, not each attribute, is tagged with an enterprise-wide unique identifier that is also an attribute.

• An entity has a security classification, not each attribute.

• Data is created and tagged at the lowest security domain (e.g., Unclassified data created in the unclassified domain).
An Attribute is a **name** with a **value** – NOT just a value.
“Atomicity Boundary”: the resolution to which the data can be identified as classified.

– **Class 1**: Entity Resolution: the existence of an entity is to be hidden; therefore, its classification can not be ascribed to any specific attribute, but only to the entity as a whole.

– **Class 2**: Attribute Resolution: one or more specific attributes of the entity can be identified as having values whose sensitivity is higher than the other attributes in the same entity, thus making the classification of the entity that of the most sensitive attribute.
Attributes Should be Portioned by Classification

• Classification should be a part of the decision of how to cluster attributes into entities.

• That is, data should be arranged such that Class 2, or attribute resolution, does not happen. Attributes should be placed into entities with consistent classifications.

• One shouldn’t mix classification levels within entities – but it is often done.

• Example: Data Masking.
Partitioning Example – Normalized Data Model

Normal Forms distribute or partition data to make management easier – classification level should be part of this process.
Unique identifiers (with a wide scope) exacerbate this problem because a single, “world-wide” identifier immediately pin-points a single entity or unifies many attributes or entities that refer to it.
Because of the Unique ID: Entity X = Entities X₁ - X₅

Suppose X is type Class 1; Then:

\[
\text{CL}(X₁ - X₅) = \text{CL}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CL(all attributes)} = \text{CL}(X)
\]

Therefore:

\[
\text{CL(ID=0x0D74FF16)} = \text{CL}(X)
\]
Universally unique identifiers, even unintelligent ones, should be treated with the same classification level as the data they identify. This includes when they are a sole attribute.

If Entity X has Classification Level CL= Z, then attribute “ID = 0x0D74FF16” has CL=Z.

But, the value “0x0D74FF16” has no classification.

Intuitive reason: because attribute “ID=0x0D74FF16” ties together a set of data parts.

This policy simplifies many operations when applied to associating data (importing attributes).
“Y is a child of X by association Z”
Let $CL_1 < CL_2$
Let $CL1 < CL2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>0x110F7711</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Unit A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>CL1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>0x0D74FF16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Unit B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>CL1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>0x23ED7A43</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>OPCON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Par</td>
<td>0x110F7711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>0x0D74FF16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification Level Independent of Imported Attributes
Classification Policy Applied to a Normalized Data Model

All Imported Attributes Follow These Rules.
Examples of Multi-Level Data
Examples of Multi-Level Data
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Unique identifiers (with a wide scope) introduce new issues because a single, “world-wide” identifier immediately pin-points a single entity or unifies many attributes or entities that refer to it.

Universally unique identifiers, even unintelligent ones, should be treated with the same classification level as the data they identify. This includes when they are alone.