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Real-Time Distributed Network-Centric Warfare

• System modeling and simulation from the system requirement important for network-centric warfare
• System modeling and simulation are usually expensive for real-time distributed network-centric warfare
• Many specification and simulation packages are available such as SDL that can model and simulate the target system from requirements. But they are often design-oriented.
• Our scenario-driven system engineering approach provides a way to perform system modeling and simulation rapidly based on system requirements.
Comparisons Between SDL and Scenario ACDATE Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>ACDATE</th>
<th>SDL/TTCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence</td>
<td>Essence</td>
<td>High-level system description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach</td>
<td>Requirement engineering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>Fundamental Technique</td>
<td>Scenario oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intuitive Feature</td>
<td>More intuitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Code Generation</td>
<td>Partial code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components</td>
<td>Actor, Condition, Data, Action, Timing, Event</td>
<td>Structure, Communication, Behavior, Data, Inheritance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>Non-real code based simulation</td>
<td>Real code based simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Test cases generation</td>
<td>Test script generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UML Relation</td>
<td>Class diagram, Sequence diagram</td>
<td>UML compatible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA Support</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Ensure no errors in requirements</td>
<td>Generate real time applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&amp;V Support</td>
<td>Convenient to support V&amp;V</td>
<td>Not focus on this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SDSE and Command & Control Systems

• Future Command and Control (C2) systems need to operate within an integrated grid-based network-centric environment (GIG) that allows rapid decision development and evaluation to meet the challenges of modern agile warfighting.

• A Scenario-Driven System Engineering (SDSE) approach is proposed to develop, evaluate, and test C2 systems.

• Once system scenarios are specified, the system can be simulated without any programming and thus saves significant effort and time.
SDSE Features

• Compatible with the Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) to develop trustworthy systems
• Can be used to specify and analyze system behaviors in an SOA.
• Core: scenario specification and analyses based on ACDATE model.
ACDATE Model

• **Actors** – An actor is either an external user, system or device, or an internal system, device, component or object;

• **Conditions** – A condition is a predicate used to trigger an action;

• **Data** – Attributes of actor, and presenting the semantic of condition, event and action

• **Actions** – Specified by the trigger event, guard condition, the way to change the status of actors, and sent event(s) to some actors

• **Timing** – A semantic statement about the relative or absolute value of time or duration

• **Events** – External/internal significant occurrences that may trigger action(s)
A Sample Scenario

A scenario “when driver door is locked and passenger door is locked, if remote controlled is pressed unlock, then the driver door is open” can be specified using Scenario Specification Language as above.
Analyses based on ACDATE/Scenario Model

• Based on the ACDATE/Scenario model, a variety of static and dynamic (via simulation) analyses can be performed:
  – Completeness and consistency analysis
  – Performance evaluation
  – Safety analysis
  – Behavior analysis
  – Policy specification and enforcement

• The SDSE is to be integrated and supported by an automated tool E2E that is currently being used in several experimental projects by US Navy
Scenario Tool Input Interface
Static C&C Analysis

• Once the system ACDATE/Scenario model is ready, one can easily using our automated tool to perform completeness and consistency analysis to see if there is any problem in system modeling

• Static C&C analysis can discover a large amount of incompleteness and inconsistency problems that are hard for engineers to detect
Static C&C Analysis Tool

1. Select to display T/F Table
2. Select to display condition description
## Experiment Results of Static C&C Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Experimental/Industrial</th>
<th># of Scenarios</th>
<th># of Conds.</th>
<th># of Missing C-E Combination</th>
<th># of Covering Scenarios</th>
<th>Whole/Partial System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car Alarm Systems</td>
<td>Centralized application</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking System</td>
<td>Distributed application</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>Distributed real-time</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>396800</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>Distributed real-time</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDRS</td>
<td>Distributed real-time</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1966080</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Processor</td>
<td>Embedded distributed application</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{11}$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scenario-Based Simulation Architecture

- Scenario-based simulation is divided into two major parts
  - Environment Simulator
    - The behavior of the environment
    - Impact of the system to its environment
  - System Simulator
    - Target system behavior
Rationale for Separating Environment And System Simulation

- It offers the opportunity to observe the behavior of the system under testing (SUT) under different loads by varying the environment simulator

- Robustness, reliability and scalability of the system can be determined by generating various inputs to drive the system
  - Generating an incorrect input can evaluate the system’s robustness
  - Generating the input according to the operational profile will determine the system’s reliability
  - Generating inputs of various sizes to determine the system scalability
Simulation Engine Architecture

- Monitor
- Policy Checker
  - Track Activity
  - Check Policy
- Scheduler (VM)
  - Execute Scenario
  - Emit Event
  - Access
- Event Queue
  - Event Triggers Scenario
- Entity Pool
  - Entity
  - Entity
- Environment
Simulation Code Generation

- The simulation code is generated based on the scenario specification, which includes the ACDATE definition and scenario description
- Each ACDATE model element will be translated to an object with the attributes defined in the specification
- Instrumentation code will be inserted to the objects to interface with the monitor and policy checker
- Each scenario will be translated to a procedure that is basically a sequence of operations on the ACDATE objects or emitting events.
- With these automated simulation code generation, previously Excel-spreadsheet based real-time distributed network-centric C2 systems can be simulated without any additional programming effort saving significant effort.
A scenario “when driver door is locked and passenger door is locked, if remote controlled is pressed unlock, then the driver door is open” can be specified using Scenario Specification Language as above.
Simulation Code Generation
Example – Generated Code

scenario_5 = function(co_routine_name, platform) // a scenario
coroutine.yield(); // interface to scheduler ...
if (condition_11.Eval() || condition_17.Eval() ) //condition evaluation
then
    action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform); // interface to policy
               //checker embedded here
    action_10_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // turn on alarm
    action_10:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit; // advance and record system
               // time ...
    action_17: action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform);
    action_17_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // beep once
    action_17:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit;
else
    action_20: action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform);
    action_20_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // beep three times
    action_20:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit;
end

[31] Event E13_ReceiveFireOrder with Event Instance ID of 12 Arriving at 30

[31] Begin Doing Action Action13_ReceiveFireOrder

[32] Condition Vig3_ChancellorsvilleCanShoot is Evaluated to Be False

[33] Begin Doing Action Action3_MakeDecision

[34] Condition Conditon27_DecideRejectMission is Evaluated to Be True
Dynamic Analyses Performed Based on Simulation

• Once simulation is performed, variety kinds of analyses can be carried out based on simulation, both runtime and off-line:
  – Policy specification and enforcement
  – Dynamic C&C analysis
  – Performance analysis
  – Safety analysis
  – Behavior analysis
Policy Specification and Enforcement

• One can specify kinds of policies in the system ACDATE/Scenario model using our automated scenario tool

• Once policies are specified, simulation can dynamically check and enforce the specified policies at runtime.

• Any policy violation will be reported and recorded in a log file.
Policy Specification

• Policy 2: Supporting Arms Coordinator (SAC) must NOT issue a Fire Order if SOF Team has not laid down

• Specification
Acceptable Scenario

SOF Team lies down before Fire Order is issued

No policy violation detected
Scenario Violating Policy

SOF Team doesn’t lie down before Fire Order is issued

Policy violation detected

```
using ACTOR:ESSE_SAC
using ACTOR:SOF_Team

do ACTION:SOF_Team.Vig3_A_Retreat

do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_DetermineBestWeapon
do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_CheckSOFTeamOutOfTargetArea
do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_CheckSOFTeamLiedown

emit EVENT:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_E10_IssueFireOrder
```
Dynamic C&C analysis

• Some incompleteness or inconsistency can only be observed during runtime when concurrency comes into play

• In a recent experiment with a real time network-centric C2 system that has around 1000 entities and 120 scenarios, it takes 3 minutes to generate and execute the simulation and it detected around 200 bugs related to incompleteness or inconsistency.
Performance Analysis

• During the simulation, system time will be recorded for each action when it starts or ends, event when it is emitted or handled, and data when the value changes.

• The recorded time information can be used for performance analysis such as the throughput and delay of the system processes.
Safety Analysis

- Event sequence can be useful for safety analysis.
- By using event sequence tree and traditional event tree, one can pinpoint which system components that failed during failure analysis.
Sample Event Sequence Tree

E01_Unknown_track_investigated
E02_Info_received
E03_Attack_info_received
E04_Get_Info_from_P3
E05_Get_msg_from_P3
E06_Info_is_sent_by_Actor1
E07_Info_is_sent_by_Actor2
E08_Info_is_sent_by_Actor3
E09_Info_is_sent_by_Actor4
E10_Info_is_sent_by_Actor5
E11_Info_is_sent_by_Actor6
E12_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor7
E13_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor8
E14_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor9
E15_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor10
E16_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor11
E17_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor12
E18_Msg_is_sent_by_Actor13
Behavior analysis

- Reachability analysis
- State model generation
- Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) analysis
- Model checking using SPIN
- Sequence diagram generation
State Model Generation

• We can generate the state model from the result of simulation

• Information contained in one entry of the simulation result
  – Time stamp
  – Starting and ending system state
  – Action performed
  – Event that triggers the action
State Model Generation

• From information provided in the result of simulation, we can get the global state transitions and single actor state transitions.

• But there is something more for the single actor state transition generation – guard condition, i.e. some state transition can happen when some other actors are in certain conditions. For example, alarm can not be turned on when the driver’s door is open.

• State transition for global system:
  – (starting global state) – external event/triggered actions → (ending global state)

• State transition for single actor
  – (starting actor state) – external event[guard condition]/triggered actions → (ending actor state)
Model Checking with SPIN

- One can generate the single actor state models automatically from simulation
- One can also generate the single actor state models from requirements or design manually
- Two sets of state models can put into SPIN to perform cross checking
This is a sample state model for the Driver's Door

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>StartState</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Door Closed Not Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed Not Locked (Lock driver door) (Unlock passenger door)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>No Guard</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked (Beep Three Times)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked (Beep Three Times)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked (Beep Three Times)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked (Beep Three Times)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked/ArmButtonPressed/WRen</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked (Beep Three Times)</td>
<td>Door Closed And Locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generated Sequence Diagram Sample

CarKey

eLockPassengerDoorWithCarKey

E9_Handler_Action
Conclusion

• A systematic process to perform variety kinds of static and dynamic analyses based on scenario specification

• Once system scenarios are specified, the simulation code can be automatically generated, and the system can be simulated without any additional programming

• The simulation can be used to perform various dynamic analyses including C&C checking, safety analysis, and performance analysis. The SDSE is being integrated into an automated tool E2E.