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Purpose

- Describe our Approach to Extending DoDAF to Unify Architecture, Requirements and Requirements Traceability
- Demonstrate that the DoDAF can be Inline with the Systems Engineering Process
DoDAF Background

- **DoDAF is Mandated for Representing Architectures for the DoD**
  - Operational, System, Technical Views (AV, OV, SV, TV)
  - Addresses Structure, Data, Behavior
  - Mainly Diagrams or Tables
- **DoDAF is Governed by a Working Group with Representatives from Across DoD Services and Agencies**
- **Focus Should Be on the Underlying Meta-Data**
  - What The Diagrams Mean, Not What They Look Like
- **Not Intended as a Systems Engineering Tool, or as a Primary Requirements Vehicle**
  - Tendency to be Descriptive rather than Prescriptive
  - Doesn’t Mandate that Requirements be Specified
  - Assumes (but doesn’t require) a Disciplined Process with Strict Consistency Between Products
Challenges for Our Project

- Desire to use DoDAF to Support Systems Engineering
  - Architectures as more than just a Descriptive Report
- Coupled Architectures – Operational, System, Software
- Linked, Traceable Requirements at all Levels
- Address Model Driven Architecture (MDA) Challenges
  - Integrated Architecture Behavior Model (IABM) to meet needs of Single Integrated Air Picture (SIAP)
  - Distributed Nature of the Desired System
  - Rapid Development Prior to Definition of the Full Set of Requirements -- Evolutionary/Iterative Development
  - Iterative Development, Constant Refinement of Requirements
  - OO Based Design Processes Based on UML notation
Relating DoDAF OV and SV Products

Operational Views

- OV-2: Op Node
- OV-4: Role
- OV-5: Operational Activity

System Views

- SV-1: Sys Node
- SV-4: System Function
- SV-5: Info

Diagram Concept from Steve Ring, MITRE Corp.
DoDAF OV to SV Connection - **Deficiencies**

Matrix Correlating Operational Activities to System Functions

Insufficient Linkage for Requirements Traceability!
A Systems Engineering View

- Requirements Allocation and Traceability Provide Rigor Needed to have Architecture Views Support System Engineering
- Need to Establish
  - Linkage Between Requirements and Architecture Elements at Each Level
  - Linkage Between Requirements at Different Levels
- Conventional Approach to DoDAF vs Requirements Aligned Approach
An Integrated Architecture Approach

- **Architectures Capture Requirements in Context**
  - Architecture Views are Relevant to the Systems Engineering Process and Become De Facto Living Documents with the Evolutionary System Design
  - Separated Requirements may not have the Meaning they have in Context, or in a Specified Sequence (Using *Rules*, *Statecharts* or *Sequence* Representations)

- All Requirements get Implemented through Something in the Architecture, and there Should be Nothing in the Architecture that isn’t there to help Satisfy Requirements

- All Elements in an Architecture Should be Satisfying one or more Requirements
  - Richer and Rigorous Correlation Between Requirements at Different Levels of the Architecture
  - Can be Design-Derived Requirements

- Each Requirement Should be Allocated to at Least One Architecture Element Somewhere
  - If all Requirements Should be Testable, then there must be Something to Test
Architecture – Requirements Traceability

- Requirements Apply to More than Just Functions
- Data, Interfaces, and Behavior Should also have Requirements, and be Related between OVs and SVs

Diagram Elements:

- Operational Requirement
- System Requirement
- OV Diagram
- SV Diagram

Flowdown and Feedback

Allocation and Satisfaction
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Assigning Requirements to an Operational Activity (Example)

- Portion of one of the OV-5 Dataflow Diagrams
- Requirements can be Attached to
  - Operational Activities (boxes)
  - Information Exchanges {data} (lines)
Comprehensive Approach for JSSEO

- **JSSEO Project Characteristics**
  - Based on Model Driven Architecture (OMG)
  - One Fact, One Place
  - Requirements Traceability
  - Auto-Generation of Documentation

- **Agile Development**
  - Iterative Requirements Definition and Refinement
  - Appropriate for “Disruptive Systems” *
  - Distributed System and System Requirements

- **Support Implementation of Software to Heterogeneous Host Systems**

- **Tailoring of DoDAF products**
  - UML as Basis for System Views

* Clayton M. Christensen, *The Innovator’s Dilemma*
Role of an Integrated Architecture within JSSEO

- Integrated Architecture (IA) Contains
  - Operational and System Architecture
  - Operational and System Requirements
Extending DoDAF to Address JSSEO MDA

- Diagram Adaptation Primarily on the SV side.
- SV-1, -2, -4, -6, -11: Use UML Class and Object Diagrams
  - Variety of Uses
- Interconnect Template
  - The IABM, its Layers, and its Interfaces to the Host System
  - Classes Defined for Commonality
  - Object Instance Versions for each Host System
- Capability Areas
  - “Virtual” Classes Defined to hold Domain-Level Requirements
- Interface Specification
  - Associations/Links can have Requirements Attached, and Support Message Definition
IA Operational Views & Requirements

Operational Requirements (Derived from Primary Sources) Associated with Diagram Elements
IA System Views & Requirements

- System Requirements Allocated to System View Elements
- Some Requirements derived from Architecture Context (Interfaces)
IABM Capabilities Object Model (Example)

- Links System Views with IABM Design
- IABM “Capabilities” are Virtual Objects used to hold Sets of Related Domain* Requirements.

* Kennedy-Carter iUML Development Tool Terminology for a Collection of Classes
Value of Architecture/Requirements Process to JSSEO

- Unified Repository of Integrated Information
  - Allows Automated Detection of Mismatches
  - Support for Automated Document Generation
  - Integrated Product Focus for Configuration Control & Management

- Efficiency: Engineers Think, Tools Help Keep Track

- Fewer Tools Means Fewer Manual Translations between Tools
  - Every (manual) Translation Provides an Opportunity for Mis-translation
  - Translations Mean More Effort, More Complicated Updating Process, Lower Probability of Continued Success

- Up-To-Date Design
  - Architecture, Requirements, Design Updated Monthly
Implementing the Solution

- Architecture Tool Adaptation
  - Architecture Diagramming and Requirements Management Tools Configured to Support the JSSEO Development Process
  - Automated Data Exchange Between Tools to Minimize Data Entry Duplication
- Requirements Management
  - Flexible Scheme for Identifying and Tracing Requirements
  - Requirements Managed Individually, not as a Set within a Specification
- Metrics, Reports and Status Monitoring
  - Oriented Toward Determining Completeness of Requirements Traceability
  - Account for All Aspects of Traceability
    - Requirement to Source
    - Requirement to Requirement
    - Requirement to Architecture View Diagram Elements
    - Requirement to Development Tool Domains
Adapting Tools

No Single Tool Meets All Needs - Requires Suite of Interoperable Tools

PRIMARY TOOLS

- Popkin Systems Architect
  - DoDAF Views (Diagrams)
  - Requirements (multiple levels)
  - Associates Requirements with Architecture Elements (Symbols & Definitions)
  - Encyclopedia of Architecture Data Stored in MS SQLServer

- Telelogic DOORS
  - Requirements Repository
  - Traceability Management
  - Interface to Pass Requirements into Kennedy-Carter iUML Development Tool

SUPPORTING TOOLS

- MS Excel
  - CSV Files for Export/Import of Requirements Between DOORS & System Architect

- MS Access
  - Statistical Reports on Requirements Management
  - SQLServer Import/Export of Architecture Data

- HTML
  - Browser Viewable Reports of Architecture Elements and Associated Requirements
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Popkin System Architect

- Configured for JSSEO Development Process
  - UML for System Views to Align with UML in MDA
- Modified USRPROPS.TXT file
  - Added Requirement Definitions (Addressables) for Operational, System, and Domain Requirements
  - Extended Symbol Definitions to Accept Associations of Requirement Addressables
  - Extended System Requirement Definition to Accept Associations of Operational and Domain Requirement Addressables
- Used to Build DoDAF OVs and SVs
- Imports Requirements from DOORS Repository (via Excel Files)
- Assigns Requirements to Diagram Elements
  - Drag and Drop Requirements to Diagram Symbols
Attaching Requirements To A Symbol

1. Select Symbol
2. Select Requirements Tab
3. Drag & Drop Requirements
Defining Requirements Linkages

- System Requirement
- Operational Requirements Traced to System Requirement
- Domain Requirements Traced to System Requirement
Requirements Management

- Requirements Database in DOORS
  - Independent Operational, System, and Domain Requirement Lists
  - Unique Identifier for Each Requirement
  - Requirement Attributes for Status Tracking

- Traceability to Source Documents, Between Requirements and to Architecture Elements

- Reports on “Orphan” Requirements or Architecture Elements Produced from both SA and DOORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRL_ID</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IABM Technical Requirement</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB Deferred From</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB Assigned To</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement ID</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Status</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement Status</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Status</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© 2004 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved
Requirement Internal Meta Structure & States

- Only Current Requirements are Linked
  - Linkages to Diagram Element, Other Requirements, or Source Document

- Superseded or Cancelled Requirements are Archived

Requirements Class State Chart

- Requirements are Approved Prior to Assigning Linkages
- Requirements, Once Created, Stay in System
Requirements Work Flow

Movement of Requirements Between Tools Requires Adaptation of ‘One Fact One Place’ Program Goal

- Requirement Definition in DOORS
  - Exported to System Architect
- Requirement Relationships Defined in System Architect
  - Exported for Detailed Reporting
  - Exported to DOORS for Traceability Management
Tool Suite Interoperability

- Modified USRPROPS.TXT
- OVs & SVs
- Aligned Requirements
- Traceability Management & Reports (MS Access)
- Architecture Data
- Requirements Repository
- Excel CSV File of Requirements
- Link Data

Kennedy-Carter iUML Development Tool

Microsoft Encyclopedia (SQL Server)

Microsoft

Excel CSV File of Requirements
Metrics and Reports

- Measuring the Goodness of Traceability
  - Completeness of Architecture Views
  - Completeness of Requirements Set

- Traceability Statistical Reports
  - Used to Assess Architecture and Requirements Traceability
  - Requirements Traced into the Architecture
  - Architecture Elements Aligned with a Requirement

- HTML Reports from System Architect and DOORS
  - Provides Access to Architecture and Requirements Information without Requiring Expertise in Tools
  - Permits Wide Review Without need for Special Tools

Reports used to Improve Overall SIAP Development Process
Requirements Traceability Reports

- Reports Built in MS Access using Data Extracted from System Architect Encyclopedia (MS SQL Server)
  - Architecture and Requirements Traceability
  - Requirements Accounted for in the Architecture
  - Architecture Elements with Assigned Requirement
HTML Reports

1. Diagram
2. Diagram Symbol
3. System Requirement
4. Operational Requirement

- Can be viewed in any Browser
- Hyper-Linked Data
  - Symbols to Symbol Definition (Includes Assigned Requirements)
  - Requirement Name to Corresponding Definition
  - Requirements to Requirements
Future Work

- Comprehensive Hyper-linking
  - VB Scripts used to create hyper-linked Integrated Architecture
  - Linkages with HTML from DOORS and iUML Tools
  - Complete Requirements Trace From Source Documents to IABM Domain Classes

- Additional Reporting & Analysis Features

- Direct Database Exchanges to Minimize need for File Export/Import to Move Data between Tools
Summary

- Presented the Approach for Linking Architecture and Requirements.
  - Architecture Views Serve to Place Requirements in Context
- Demonstrated the Current State of JSSEO Products, Metrics, Reports
- Metadata Structure, Configuration Data in the usrprops.txt file, is U.S. Government Owned, and Releasable (through JSSEO)
- Requirements Must Be Developed By All, Integrated With Architecture

Requirements, Design, or Behavior that is not Part of an Integrated Architecture is not Defensible