

# Army Research Laboratory

Human Research and Engineering Directorate

# Technologies for Augmented Collaboration

Pierce, Sutton, Foltz, Lavoie, Scott-Nash, and Lauper

2006 CCRTS The State of the Art and the State of the Practice

Presented by: Dr. Linda Pierce, Chief, Soldier Performance Division, ARL HRED Ipierce@arl.army.mil 410.278.5846 (DSN 298)



Persuasive in Peace, Invincible in War

# Future Force for Full Spectrum of Missions



- **Future Battlefields** 
  - More rapid tempos
  - **Diverse** missions
  - **Culturally diverse teams**
  - **Distributed teams**
  - **Future Force** 
    - **Rapid deployment**
    - **Rapidly forming/reforming teams**
    - Dynamic team building with diverse team members
    - More decentralized decision making
    - Novel problem solving for situations not covered by standard doctrine
    - **Execution based planning**

Major Theater Small Scale Low War **Contingencies** 

Security, Transition, and Reconstruction **Operations (STRO)** 

Spectrum of Conflict

#### **Renders Previous Ways of Warfighting Obsolete**

2

#### Stability, Security, Transition and Reconstruction Missions are "Wicked" Problems

- Simple Scenario:
  - Unit conducts a deliberate assault on regime forces defending a capital city (decisive battle)
  - Battle staff plans and executes the operation using practiced battle staff drills
- Complex Scenario:
  - Unit conducts an assault on insurgency forces in an ethnically sensitive area (asymmetric engagement)
  - Battle staff must extend battle calculus and adapt doctrine to address non-traditional adversary
- Wicked Scenario:
  - Unit coordinates peacekeeping operation with coalition partner who disagrees with priority of objectives (protect ethnic population vs economic reconstruction) and methods of engagement ("iron fist" vs "velvet glove")
  - Battle staff must collaborate extensively to define objectives, constraints, and range of appropriate actions







Negotiation among culturally diverse team members is an essential feature of wicked scenarios!

### **Understanding Requirements**

• Data were collected during Task Force Eagle (TFE) predeployment training (FY00).



• Structured observations and interviews were conducted at TFE Division and Battalion command posts at 1, 4, 6, and 10 months post deployment (FY01).





- Research was expanded to include multinational teamwork at SFOR HQ data were collected quarterly (FY02-03).
- Research was supported by an MOA between ARL and FORSCOM.

# **Observations from Bosnia-Herzegovina**

#### Training

- Training in peacekeeping was not routine.
- Training environment was complex and afforded limited feedback or replay.
- Steady state skills were not well trained.
  - Information operations
  - Influence, persuasion and negotiations
- Civil affairs or Civil Military Coordination (CIMIC) was not well represented.
- Interaction with international agencies and multinational forces was limited.

#### Organization

- Warfighting mindset
- Personnel instability
- Procedures discouraged multinational cooperation

#### Technology

- Little collaborative technology for peacekeeping for:
  - Pattern analysis
  - Situation assessment
  - Historical and biographical databases
- Technology supported centralized control.



# Bottomline Impact on Performance

- Peacekeeping expertise was slow to develop.
- Decision-making tended to be reactive and risk averse.
- Teams were not adaptable.
  - Focus on efficiency
  - Limited information exchange
- Teamwork was inefficient.
  - Team diversity was not exploited
  - Civil affairs or CIMIC was not integrated into core staff planning or operations



## **Effects Based Operations**

• The Army must undertake a major effort to transform the way it operates. The transition is to an effects-based approach to operations.

The Team		The Actions		The Effects		
Joint Interagency Multinational		Diplomacy Intelligence Military Economic	Law Enforcement Information Finance		Political Economic Information	Military Social Infrastructure
In effects based operations, the coalition uses all elements of power to achieve desired effects!						

- All recent operations have been coalition operations.
- Effects based operations require collaboration among diverse, often distributed coalition partners.
- This program will develop software technology that significantly increases the ability of the U.S. Army to effectively form coalitions, lead multicultural teams and execute effects-based operations. 7

# Information Exchange



# Interoperability Areas



# Effects Based Operations Require Multicultural Collaboration



U.S. and Multinational Forces, Other Government Agencies, Non-Government Organizations

#### **Potential Barriers**

- Limited understanding of team member roles and responsibilities
- Little information exchange
- Poor team coordination
- Little giving or receiving of assistance
- Little motivation to work with others on the team

The ability to interoperate is necessary but not sufficient to insure effective collaboration.

#### Implications

- Inaccurate team mental model
- Inaccurate team situation
   awareness
- Limited trust
- Increased conflict
- Social loafing or groupthink
- Risky decision making
- Lack of commitment to the team
- Little innovation or risk taking
- Poor team performance

# Descriptive Model of Multicultural Collaboration

#### Input



- Independent-
- Interdependent
- Egalitarianism-Status
- Risk-Restraint
- Direct-Indirect
- Task-Relationship
- Short term-Long term
- Orientation



#### Team Functions

- Roles and Responsibilities
- Coordination
- Information Exchange
  - Amount/Type/Quality of information
  - New Ideas
- Giving and receiving aid
- Motivation





#### **Emergent States**

- Team Mental Model
- Individual and Team
   Situational Awareness
- Psychological Safety -
- Trust

#### **Outcome Measures**

- Scenario Success
  - Accuracy/Quality
  - Timeliness
- Workload
- Progress Towards Goals
- Commitment to the team and team decisions
- Consensus -

Convergence/Divergence of Ideas

- Range of Issues
- Considered 11

## Technologies for Augmenting Multicultural Collaboration

- GlobeSmart 
   Commander Tool to assess cultural biases in cognition and teamwork and improve interaction among diverse team members.
- Latent Semantic Analysis Automated techniques for text understanding that compare and determine the degree of semantic relatedness between any two texts.
- Dynamic Network Analysis Computational models of the relationship among people, resources, tasks, and knowledge generated through real time monitoring of interactions.

### Improving Multicultural Collaboration in Effects Based Operations

#### **Technologies for Augmented Collaboration**

The Environment

Collaboration

**Support Functions** 



Tools to understand self, understand others ... and improve collaboration

### **Cultural Assessment (CA) Tool**

- Culture is a set of assumptions, values, beliefs or traits shared by a specific community.
- Culture influences how people believe, think, and act.
- Cultural differences may have a negative affect on team performance.
- Approach to Cultural Assessment:
  - Apply tools from industry to the military environment.
  - Focus on inter-cultural rather than cross-cultural team performance.





#### **GlobeSmart ® Commander**

## 1<sup>st</sup> Three of Six Key Dimensions of Culture

Independent	Interdependent			
<ul> <li>Take more individual initiative</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focus more on cooperation and group goa</li> <li>Use group decision making styles (e.g., consensus, meet before the meeting</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Use individual decision making styles (e.g., brainstorming)</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Reward / recognize individuals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reward / recognize group</li> </ul>			
Egalitarianism	Status			
Self-directed	Enforce / follow guidelines			
<ul> <li>Flexibility in roles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate behavior for different roles</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>OK to challenge opinion of people in power</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Status and position respected</li> </ul>			
Risk	Restraint			
Demonstrate quick results	<ul> <li>Spend time on background research</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Flexibility and initiative valued</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Establish proper processes and systems</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Speed valued more than thoroughness</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Take time before making a change</li> </ul>			

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Three of Six Key Dimensions of Culture

Direct	Indirect		
• Explicit and to the point	<ul> <li>Carefully consider how things are said</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Openly confront difficulties</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Avoid discussing difficulties in open forums</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Constructive feedback</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Personal dignity / face issues are important</li> </ul>		
Task	Relationship		
<ul> <li>Move quickly to business, relationships develop alongside</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relationship-building is a critical part or getting the job done right</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Relationships develop quickly</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relationships develop slowly over time</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Focus on what you do, achievements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focus on who you are, network</li> </ul>		
Short-term	Long-term		
Demonstrate immediate results	<ul> <li>Emphasize big picture and long-term</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Efficiency and speed important to decision</li> </ul>	results		
making process	<ul> <li>Thoroughness, consensus-building, and discussion of possible outcomes important</li> </ul>		

### Sample personal profile generated by Globesmart®



Copyright 2004, Meridian Resources Associates; based on the Matsumoto Self-Assessment Tool, Copyright 2004, Dr. David Matsumoto.

# Globesmart® Commander Cultural Gap Analysis



#### **Behavioral Influences**

Individuals can have significantly different culturally based cognitive biases that influence their behavior.

In concert with cognitive biases of others, resulting behaviors will either enhance or damage team performance.

Leaders and teams who recognize those biases and understand the impact of culture on teamwork are better prepared to adapt, as needed, to ensure mission success.

Copyright 2004, Meridian Resources Associates; based on the Matsumoto Self-Assessment Tool, Copyright 2004, Dr. David Matsumoto.

# Latent Semantic Analysis



#### What is it?

- LSA is a computational approach to modeling language and knowledge.
- Automated technique for text understanding that learns by "reading" large bodies of representative text.
- Can make judgments of similarity on any new text using pre-trained semantic space

#### How does it work?

- Compares the holistic meaning vectors of any two texts (news stories, chat contributions, training documents, ...)
  - Compares and determines degree of semantic relatedness between any two texts
- Initially represents terms and documents in a matrix as a weighted count of occurrences (global entropy, local log weighting on terms).
  - Result is a very large, very sparse matrix (~100-200K terms X ~100K-1M + documents)
- Uses Singular Value Decomposition to decompose the matrix and reduce dimensionality
  - Result is a high dimensional semantic space
  - Each term and document represented as vectors--sets of 300 numbers
  - Vectors represent overall gist or meaning of words and passages



#### **LSA Metrics**

- Shared Meaning Comment •
- Expertise

- Comment Quality
- Team Performance



Document Retrieval

The Commander could use LSA to inform and moderate team discussions to ensure the expertise of the team members is reflected in the optimal solution!

# Machine Learning-based Team Communication Analysis

- Judge quality of team performance from communication stream and prior team performance measures
  - Typed
  - Spoken
- Current research results
  - Accurately predicts overall team performance from discourse
  - Categorizes statements made by team members
    - Uncertainty, planning, acknowledgements, ...
  - Robust performance when combined with Automatic Speech Recognition Systems
  - Language independent (English, Arabic, Swahili, Hindi, ...)
- Output
  - Metrics to track team behavior and performance
  - Feedback for Commanders
  - Automated AARs

# **Dynamic Network Analysis**



DNA is a computational approach to modeling and simulating interactions among people, knowledge, resources, and tasks



DNA Metrics		People / Agents	Knowledge / Resources	Events / Tasks
Who knows whom – Centrality, Between-ness, Cliques	People / Agents	Social Network	Knowledge Network	Attendance Network
<ul> <li>Who knows what – Expertise, Exclusivity</li> <li>Who does what, when – Workload, Precedence</li> <li>How much is done – Workload, Cognitive</li> </ul>	Knowledge / Resources		Information Network / Substitutes	Needs Network
Demand, Complexity	Events / Tasks			Precedence Ordering

# Forming, Supporting, and Measuring Team Performance



- Display team processes
  Display team networks
  (social knowledge resource)
- (social, knowledge, resources)Display team performance





#### Coach

- Support team member interactions
- Identify gaps in knowledge and expertise
- Establish metrics for success



#### Meter



- On task to completion
- Monitor sources for new information

Number of organizations	47
Number of resources	40
Number of agents	126
Number of tasks	42
Number of locations	48
Number of knowledge	62

### **Example of TAC in Action**

#### The Darfur Simulation

#### Executed a 10 Day Simulation based on Actual Darfur Mission

Darfur is a classic example of an EBO mission

#### The Mission

- Ongoing conflict in the Darfur region of western Sudan
- Government-supported Arab militia vs. non-Arab peoples of the region
- Estimated 300,000 deaths
- 20+ ethnic groups affected, with more than 1.8 million people displaced
  - 50+ NGOs operating



On order, COL Fernandez deploys to South Darfur state to assume command of all US forces comprising Multinational Force Darfur Watch. When directed, US forces support MNF operations to restore order and stability to the region.



Internally displaced persons (IDPs) are being forcefully relocated and denied access to humanitarian aid.



Rebels are using boys as young as 12 to carry out attack orders.



Rebel forces are attacking foreign oil industry workers and infrastructure.

#### The Darfur Mission A "Wicked" Scenario



"On any given day, I deal with the political realm of the Coalition Provisional Authority, the humanitarian realm of the NGOs, and the military realm of firefights, improvised explosive devices, snipers, [and/or] mortar attacks." Commander in Iraq: Bde Cdr, 1st AD after 16 days in command

#### **Team Adaptability** Identifying and Correcting a Problem



### **Team Self Correction**

#### Understanding

#### Display team networks (social, knowledge, resources, etc.) Display team performance



#### Implications

- Isolated military personnel are on the periphery of the problem solving process.

- Need to encourage higher rates of participation between military and non-military team members.

Different Roles – Different Agendas					
Role	Agenda	Cultural Framework	Participation Rate		
Civil Affairs	Coordinate with the JTF commander's office to provide local threat intelligence and protection	Military officer: embedded in hierarchal organization but has close ties to host nation's bureaucratic civil community.	14%		
Refugee Camp Director	Procure safe transport for food and people Encourage local population to register at camp for services	NGO humanitarian aid worker: Decentralized organizational structure but has ties of varying degrees to most stakeholders, including IDP population, UN, and military.	29%		
СМО	Survey status and needs of refugee camp	Military officer: embedded in hierarchical organization but has close ties to diplomatic community.	3%		
UN	Coordinate high level goals and meetings	International humanitarian official: embedded in international humanitarian organization but has close ties to NGO workers and diplomatic community	27%		

## **Team Self Awareness**



Comparing expected and actual levels of performance

- USAID rep's low participation and consensus scores target him for intervention.

- However, his position as a high ranking government official means he is expected to have little involvement in the day-to-day issues.

- Expected performance matches actual performance

# **US and SN Experiments**

- Participants US and SN Command Staff
- Scenario
  - Bio-terrorism
  - Humanitarian Relief

- Independent Variables
  - Command System
  - Augmented Collaboration Tools
- Dependent Variables
  - Team Processes
    - Shared Mental Model
    - Team Situation Awareness
    - Trust
  - Outcome Measures



# Summary of Cultural Adaptability Research

 ARL HRED Cultural Adaptability Research Program has been formalized in 2 international working groups:

Cultural Diversity in Cognition and Teamwork, HQ Supreme Allied
 Command - Transformation, Futures and Engagement, Concept Development
 and Experimentation

- Adaptability in Coalition Teamwork (ACT) RTO HFM TG 138

- FY06 Research Venues:
  - US and Singapore Exercises
  - Allied Warrior 05
  - Multinational Experiment 4
- SABRE (Situation Authorable Behavior Research Environment)



# **QUESTIONS?**